

RESTON, VA 20190

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/814,180 04/01/2004 01-615 6453 Satoshi Hamasaki 23400 11/19/2004 **EXAMINER** POSZ & BETHARDS, PLC MALSAWMA, LALRINFAMKIM HMAR 11250 ROGER BACON DRIVE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 10

> 2825 DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Un
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- ~/0
	10/814,180	HAMASAKI, SATOSHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lex Malsawma	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irreply be timely. In the considered timely. In the communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	A <i>pril 2004</i> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	ı
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		,	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040401</u> .	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	٠

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Wensel** (6,001,672).

Regarding claim 1:

Wensel discloses a method of resin-sealing a semiconductor device 210 (Fig. 5) formed by disposing the undersurface of a semiconductor chip 214 on one side of an island portion of a lead frame 220 and connecting the surface of the semiconductor chip to lead portions of the lead frame disposed around the semiconductor chip with plural bonding wires 217, the method comprising:

disposing the semiconductor chip 214 inside a cavity of a forming die 234 (Fig. 9) and injecting resin 224 through a gate of the forming die (i.e., region "238" where the encapsulant material source enters the cavity, see Fig. 9) to seal the semiconductor device with resin in a state where portions of the lead portions are exposed (note exposed tips of "220" in Fig. 5),

wherein the gate (i.e., region "238" in Fig. 9) of the forming die is disposed only in a surface of the cavity facing the surface of the semiconductor chip 214 and the resin is injected through the gate towards the surface of the semiconductor chip. Therefore, this claim is anticipated.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,180 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

Regarding claims 2 and 3:

Wensel discloses a support board (216, 228) at the other side of the island portion, wherein the support board will prevent the island portion from being bent by pressure of the resin in the injection direction of the resin during the injection. Wensel discloses the gate is disposed at a face of the cavity that is opposite to the direction in which the surface of the chip faces; accordingly, the resin (224, 238) will be injected through the gate in a direction that is substantially orthogonal to the surface "direction" of the semiconductor chip. In other word, although the gate is not located directly in front of the chip surface, the gate is surely disposed only in a surface of the cavity that faces the surface of the chip; accordingly, resin entering the cavity will be injected at least in a direction orthogonal the surface of the chip, as well as in a direction towards the chip surface. Therefore, these claims are anticipated.

Regarding claims 4 and 5:

These claims are similar to claims 1 and 3 except that these claims are directed to the forming die. As detailed above, Wensel discloses all features of the currently claimed forming die; therefore, these claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,180 Page 4

Art Unit: 2825

4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wensel

(6,001,672) in view of Lemaire et al. (5,417,905; hereinafter, "Lemaire").

Regarding claims 6-8:

These claims are similar to claims 1, 2 and 4 (anticipated by Wensel) except that these

claims include limitations for an injection mark and its location. Wensel discloses the claimed

invention but lacks the limitations with respect to an injection mark. Lemaire teaches that an

injection mark 770 commonly results during/after injecting a resin into a mold comprising a

cavity and that the top of the injection mark is lower than an end surface device (note Figs 28c-

29b and Col. 18, lines 8-12). Although Wensel does not specifically disclose an injection mark,

given Lemaire, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wensel

by specifically reciting that an injection mark is formed because Lemaire teaches/shows that

injection marks (having features as currently claimed) commonly result during a transfer-

molding process similar to that disclosed by Wensel. Therefore, these claims are held obvious

over the cited references.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

The references listed on the attached Form PTO-892 (note cited above) are cited to show

methods and devices having features similar to those of the current invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,180

Art Unit: 2825

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lex Malsawma whose telephone number is 571-272-1903. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8 hours between 5:30AM and 10:00 PM EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lex Malsawma

November 13, 2004

CCC

HEW SMITH

PAYENT EXAMINER

SELECTION OF THE SECOND SERVICE SECOND SECON